

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/913,276	12/03/2001	Claude Bergerioux	MAD-C119	7102
7590 08/23/2004		EXAMINER RADA, RINALDI I		
Lorusso & Loud				
3137 Mount Ver	mon Avenue			
Alexandria, VA 22305			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N	J

Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/913,276	BERGERIOUX ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rinaldi I. Rada	3721	

THE REPLY FILED 726/2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) 🗵 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying th issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: None.
Claim(s) objected to: 12 and 14.
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10,11,13 and 15-21</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
10. Other:
Rinaldi I. Rada

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/913,276

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claims 10 and 22 present new combinations not previously considered. Claim 10 does not include all the features of claim 12. Proposed claim 22 does not include all the features of claim 10 and 14.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In reference to applicant's argument to examiner's 35USC112 rejection, applicant's remarks have been fully considered but they are not deemed persuasive. It is not clear from the specification how the step of transverse cutting is performed in conjunction with the other disclosed steps of the invention. Furthermore, all claimed features must be shown in the drawings. The step of transverse cutting is not illustrated.